

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,501	11/19/2001	Leonard Hayden	KLR: 1016.073	4149
7590 09/18/2006			EXAMINER	
CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL, LLP			KARLSEN, ERNEST F	
1600 ODS TOWER 601 SW SECOND AVE. PORTLAND, OR 97204-3157			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Z
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	12
		09/997,501	HAYDEN ET AL.	
Offi	ice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ernest F. Karlsen	2829	
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ed by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ju</u>	<u>une 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This ac	tion is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since tl	his application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed	in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of C	laims			
4)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-3,6-11 and 23-31</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of t	he above claim(s) <u>23-31</u> is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5)☐ Claim(s	s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-3 and 6-11</u> is/are rejected.			
•	s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Pap	ers			
9)∏ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)∏ The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicar	nt may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
•	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)⊡ The oat	h or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∐ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
_	Certified copies of the priority document			
	Certified copies of the priority document	• •		
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau		- J	
* See the	attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	; α.	
Associate and S				
Attachment(s)	rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date <u>0406 0706 0706a</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	'atent Application	

Claims 4, 5 and 12-22 were cancelled by Applicant.

Claims 23-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and/or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 30, 2006.

Claims 1-3 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As originally filed the disclosure did not include both the base and the planar circuit board as part of the support. The word "base" as now present in claim 1 did not appear in the original disclosure. As originally disclosed it was not clear that the "base" and the planar circuit board formed a substantially rigid support.

Claims 1-3 and 6-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what the base of claim 1 is or how the base and planar circuit board form a rigid support.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2829

Claims 1-3 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chayka et al in view of Cherry and Long et al '333. Chayka et al has a rigid support 32 and a plurality of contact fingers 26 supported by and extending from support 32. The contact fingers are a unitary assembly with each other via the central tab with hole 54 of Figure 3 of Chayka et al or as a result of being mounted on the support 32. The contact fingers 26 are maintained in alignment by the tab with the hole 54 of Figure 3 of Chayka et at when attached to the support. The tab in Chayka et al is proximate the ends of the plurality of contact fingers. Chayka et al does not show a circuit board with a network of resistors and capacitors connected to the probes or a support that includes a base and a planar circuit board. Cherry discloses at column 3, lines 7-29 a network of resistors and capacitors connected to the probe needles 74 and Long et al '333 discloses a support with angled support structure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted the resistor-capacitor network of Cherry and the angled support structure of Long et al '333 to the apparatus of Chayka et at because one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that it would be desirable to provide impedance matching for the apparatus of Chayka et al as taught by Cherry and shorter contact distances as disclosed by Long et al '333. The limitations of claims 1, 6-8, 10 and 11 are covered by the above discussion of Chayka et al and the modification thereof in accord with the teaching of Cherry. With regard to claim 2 the contact fingers of Chayka et al extend radially from their tips. With regard to claims 3 and 9 the claimed features are inherent in Chayka et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,501 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

September 14, 2006

ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER